## Bournemouth University

Review of action plan 2013-17 – implementation of the Concordat to Support the Career Development of Researchers<sup>1</sup>

## Abbreviations:

ACF	ACF	RCSG	Research Concordat Steering Group
BU	Bournemouth University	RDF	Vitae's Researcher Development Framew ork
DDRPP	Deputy Dean – Research and Professional Practice	RKEO	Research and Know ledge Exchange Office
FRKEC	Faculty Research and Know ledge Exchange Committee	RKEDF	RKE Development Framew ork
HR	Human Resources	RSA	Research Staff Association
KE	Know ledge exchange	UET	University Executive Team
OD	Organisational Development	ULT	University Leadership Team
PGR	Postgraduate researcher	UREC	University Research Ethics Committee
PVC	Pro Vice Chancellor	URKEC	University Research and Know ledge Exchange Committee

Table 1 – Bournemouth University's progress with implementing the Research Concordat: progress against original 2013-17 action plan and revised 2015-17 action plan:

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
General	Enhancing engagement between research staff and their line managers and the Concordat/BU's action plan	We have increased aw areness among research staff of the Concordat and action plan from 10% in 2012 to 46% in 2014 (CROS13 sector average was 37%), and we have strengthened our recording of, and communication channels with, research staff, for example via the new BU RSA (see section B.2.1b), the new HR system (see section A.1.0), running CROS and PIRLS for the first time in 2013, and running our biannual internal surveys (research staff and their line managers). The Concordat and action plan are profiled on our external w ebsite and BU Research Blog, and updates are regularly communicated across the University.	Action GEN1: To hold an annual Concordat-themed event open to research staff and their line managers to talk about the action plan and generate feedback and future ideas. Target date and success measure(s): first event to be held in autumn 2015. Ow ner: RCSG	<b>GEN1: IN PROGRESS:</b> The proposed event was discussed at RCSG and with research staff and their line managers. The plan was to run this as part of the announcement of the outcome of the ACF phase 2 project, how ever, this has been unavoidably delayed (see Action A.1.2). In the meantime, the objective of this action has been achieved via regular dialogue with research staff and their line managers w ho have stated they wish to continue to have regular meetings, linked to the RSA, to review and update the action log. One of the positives highlighted during the focus groups in 2016 w as how willing BU is to engage research staff in discussion, particularly with the action plan.
PRINCIPL	E 1: Recognition of the in	mportance of recruiting, selecting and retaining re	esearchers with the highes	st potential to achieve excellence in research
A.1.0	Principle 1 – general actions	<ul> <li>1.0a Action (2012) – the University doesn't currently have a specific code of practice for the employment and career development of research staff and it is recognised that this would be beneficial. A code, with the Concordat embedded within in, will be developed in 2012-13 and communicated throughout the University. Staff researchers will be involved in the development of this code of practice.</li> <li>We developed and launched a <u>Code of</u></li> </ul>	Action A.1.0a: To review the content and the use and promotion of the Code of Practice for the Employment and Development of Research Staff. Target date and success measure(s): the Code w ill be review ed in autumn 2015	A.1.0a: COMPLETE: The Code of Practice w as reviewed by RCSG in August. A number of minor edits w ere made and the revised document w as published in September 2015. This has now moved to business as usual.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Please note this does not include the original gap analysis (2012). This can be found here: <u>https://research.bournemouth.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/11/BU-EC-HREIR-badge-gap-analysis-and-action-plan-FINAL.pdf</u>

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
		<ul> <li>Practice for the Employment and Development of Research Staff in October 2014. The code provides guidance on the University's expectations for the recruitment, support, management and development of research staff in line with the <u>Concordat to</u> <u>Support the Career Development of</u> <u>Researchers</u> (2008) and the <u>European</u> <u>Charter for Researchers</u> (2005). It is relevant to research staff and their managers as well as to BU staff in general. It was produced by the RCSG. It has been sent to all research staff and their line managers, and it is sent to all new research staff (and their line managers) when they join BU. The survey of the line managers of research staff indicated that 100% of respondents were aware of the Code.</li> <li>1.0b Action (2012) – for the University to find ways of improving its database to maintain accurate and current data on staff researchers and their line managers. It is often difficult to identify researchers and their line managers and to obtain an accurate picture of the cohort overall. Providing accurate information means departments will be able to communicate effectively with researchers.</li> <li>We launched a new HR/payroll systemin April 2014 and we are now able to capture accurate information on research staff and their line managers. We are maintaining a research staff email group to improve communications w ith research staff.</li> </ul>	and annually thereafter. We will seek feedback from research staff and their line managers as part of this process. Ow ner: RCSG Action A.1.0b: To ensure the research staff email group is maintained. Target date and success measure(s): the email group will be updated on a quarterly basis based on data from HR. Ow ner: RKEO	A.1.0b: COMPLETE: The email group is updated monthly in response to the starters and leavers information provided by HR. An accurate list of research staff and their line managers is now maintained by RKEO. Aw areness of this list could be improved.
A.1.1	Members of the research community understand researchers are chosen for their ability to advance research at an institution.	All selection panels must be chaired by someone w ho has undertaken our mandatory recruitment and selection training, w hich covers selecting the best candidate for the post and employment law. All line managers are also required to undertake this training prior to being involved in the recruitment and selection procedure. It is normal practice and strongly encouraged for other panel members to undertake the training. This training is regularly delivered by HR w ho keep the training under review in light of legislative and internal changes and good practice from the sector.		
A.1.2	Recruitment and selection procedures should be informative, transparent and open to all qualified	1.2a Action (2012) – to improve the standard job descriptions for researcher posts, evaluated by Human Resources and Organisational Development via the Hay job evaluation scheme, and to train research	Action A.1.2: Phase 2 of the ACF project will seek to review the career framework including the standard job	<b>A.1.2: IN PROGRESS:</b> The Embedding Fusion initiative aims to ensure that all relevant processes and initiatives that relate to the ACF are aligned to Fusion and to ensure that there are appropriate means to recognise and rew ard the range of academic contributions. This a large

Page 2 – updated December 2016

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
	applicants regardless of background.	<ul> <li>administrators to advise principal investigators and academic managers how to use these as appropriate.</li> <li>This has been delayed slightly by Phase 1 of the ACF project. HR and RKEO review ed the standard research staff job descriptions in 2013-14. Updated generic job descriptions will be agreed as part of phase 2 of the ACF project.</li> <li>1.2b Action (2012) – to further develop the 'Working for BU' area of the website to incorporate information on life at BU, pay, reward and benefits, and promotional opportunities.</li> <li>We have made these developments to the 'Working for BU' part of the website. This w ent live in 2013 and includes a w ide selection of staff videos including videos of some of our researchers.</li> </ul>	descriptions, person specifications, pay and grading for research staff posts. The RCSG will have the opportunity to feed into this review. Once ratified, development opportunities will be reviewed, refreshed and aligned to the Framew ork/Fusion to assist staff in advancing their careers. Target date and success measure(s): Date yet to be confirmed how ever the aim is for it to be approved by <del>autumn 2015</del> during the 2015/16 academic year Ow ner: HR	organisational initiative w hich is being implemented in stages, commencing w ith Lecturing staff and most recently Hourly Paid Lecturers. Work has already commenced on creating standardised job descriptions for research staff which have been reviewed by the RCSG and HR and are due to be discussed at the next RCSG meeting in 2017. Once approved at the University leadership level, they will form the basis for a broader exercise to also consider contractual terms and conditions, w hich may, depending on the scope / changes involve negotiations w ith both trade unions. The aim is to complete the research exercise in 2017 – this is later than planned but progress has been impacted by the earlier stages.
A.1.3	Research posts should only be advertised as a fixed-term post where there is a recorded and justifiable reason.	Work Force Planning has now been implemented by the University. This requires management teams to regularly consider and scrutinise their staffing requirements for current and future years. Part of this process is to review the use of fixed-term contracts in their business functions. Work Force Planning is a tool used to support the appropriate usage of fixed term contracts.	Action A.1.3: To review the BU Code of Practice – Use of Fixed-Term Contracts and monitor its implementation. Target date and success measure(s): To review the policy and the proportion of staff on fixed-term contracts commencing autumn 2015. Ow ner: HR	Action A.1.3: COMPLETE: The BU Code of Practice – Use of Fixed- Term Contracts w as reviewed in 2016 and assessed as still legally compliant and appropriate. How ever it w as identified that procedural documentation (implemented Summer 2016) and Guidance for Recruiting Staff to Projects for managers (implemented Autumn 2016) w as required to assist in the correct and appropriate implementation of the main provisions of the Code of Practice – namely minimising the use of fixed term contracts of employment w here possible and to off er security and continuity of employment by the use of established contracts. The University regularly review s the proportion of staff, including researchers, on fixed-term contracts, in a number of w ays including monthly Fixed Term Contract reports w hich are provided to and reviewed with Faculties, quarterly Work Force Planning reports at ULT/UET/Board level and Annual Equal Pay Review (which analyses the workforce composition and impact on pay). Currently 67% (37 employees) of research staff including KTP Associates (total 55 employees) are on fixed-term contracts due to of short-termfunding (74% national average CROS 15). To add some context, the University has 223 employees on fixed term contracts across all staff categories. An annual report of the analysis of research staff on Fixed Term Contracts is due to be discussed at the next RCSG meeting in 2017 The use of fixed-term contracts and alternative contracting arrangements w as discussed during the research staff focus groups in 2016. Whilst acknow ledging some frustration at being employed on a temporary basis, research staff on fixed-term contracts understood the rationale for their temporary nature. Alternatives w ere discussed, such as established

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
A.1.4	Sub principle summary	<ul> <li>Progress against original action plan (2013 17)</li> <li>1.4a Action (2012) - BU has not previously submitted to PIRLS and plans to do so in 2013. Data collected will be used to assess current training available to principal investigators.</li> <li>BU took part in PIRLS 13. Further details are available in Sections B.2.3 and F.7.2.</li> <li>1.4b Action (2012) - where possible the University attempts to include representatives from both genders on selection panels however this is an area which could be more proactively monitored and developed. And</li> <li>1.4c Action (2012) - BU will raise awareness with line managers of the importance of panel diversity and the benefits to recruitment and selection.</li> <li>HR have updated the recruitment procedures and the recruitment stills training for line managers by incorporating information on the importance of panel diversity and the benefits to recruitment and selection.</li> </ul>	Action A.1.4a: Once the Core eRecruitment module is implemented then w e will undertake monitoring to ensure interview panels reflect diversity and experience/expertise. Target date and success measure(s): To instigate regular monitoring <del>by Spring</del> <del>2016</del> – delayed as eRecruitment yet to be confirmed for implementation Ow ner: HR Action A.1.4b: The mandatory recruitment and selection training will be updated to include reference to giving due consideration to the wider skill set of researchers including transferable skills and attributes. The aim is to	<ul> <li>Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)</li> <li>contracts even for time-limited projects, how ever the research staff felt this could be perceived as "dishonest" if it was likely they could be at risk of redundancy at the end of the project's funding anyway. Their preference was to be kept on fixed-term contracts providing they were kept fully informed about the length of the contract at all times and to be given sufficient notice of any changes.</li> <li>CROS 15 data indicates that 100% of researchers felt they received all of the necessary information regarding the role to which they were applying as part of the recruitment process.</li> <li>A.1.4a: IN PROGRESS. A Project Brief for the configuration and implementation of several Core modules including e-Recruitment was endorsed by the BU IT Development Board in November 2016. A detailed plan including a systems roadmap identifying key deliverables, costings and benefits is due to be submitted to the Board in January/February 2017 with the aim of obtaining final approval and budget to proceed – implementation of the module will take approximately 9 months due to the requirement for a whole system upgrade in the first instance. E-Recruitment twill provide the ability to monitor and report on interview panel composition.</li> <li>COMPLETE Our Recruitment &amp; Selection Procedures now state the requirement for interview panels to have a gender balance and HR manually check this requirement. Further this action has been embedded across the institution largely as a result of our action plan for Athena SWAN.</li> <li>A.1.4b: COMPLETE This was actioned in early 2015.</li> </ul>

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
A.1.5	The level of pay or grade for researchers should be determined according to the requirements of the post, consistent with the pay and grading arrangements of the research organisation.	Pay and grading for academic staff was reviewed in 2014 a part of phase 1 of the ACF project. Changes w ere made to tw o of the pay grades as a result of this in autumn 2014. Pay and grading for research staff will be review ed as part of phase 2 of the ACF project in 2015.	Complete. This has been incorporated into action A.1.2.	
			ation as an essential part o	of their organisation's human resources and a key component
B.2.1	Employers should value and afford equal treatment to all researchers, regardless of whether they are employed on a fixed term or similar contract. In particular, employers should ensure that the development of researchers is not undermined by instability of employment contracts.	<ul> <li>p and deliver world-class research</li> <li>2.1 Action (2012) – 30% of current researchers reported feeling undervalued by the University, and 40% felt that how the University values researchers depends on a number of factors including how the School values researchers, how the researcher's post was funded (with researchers funded by external sources being perceived as more valued than internally funded researchers), contractual status (i.e. established, fixed-term or casual) and whether the researcher undertook other duties such as teaching. The University will review current and new ways of engaging with researchers as a group to optimise development and engagement and to provide a forum for researchers to express their views. Work will also be undertaken with other colleagues to ensure thorough understanding of the value of researchers to undertaking high quality research, building a strong research environment, and achieving the goals of the institution. This work is core to BU2018.</li> <li>BU has established the RSA, led by research staff, which provides research staff with a forum to discuss issues linked to the implementation of the Concordat and being a researcher at BU. The first meeting took place in October 2014.</li> <li>Our 2014 internal survey of research staff show ed that 54% thought that they are not recognised or valued by the University. The same question w as put to line managers of research staff (also in 2014), the majority of w hom w ere unsure whether the University. The same question was put to line managers of research staff. Feedback from both surveys was similar with</li> </ul>	See Actions A.1.2 and B.2.6a. Action B.2.1a: Phase 2 of the ACF project will seek to review the contractual status of research staff. The RCSG will have the opportunity to feed into this review. Target date and success measure(s): Date yet to be confirmed how ever the aim is for it to be approved <del>by</del> Autumn 2015/during 2015/16 academic year Ow ner: HR	B.2.1a: IN PROGRESS: As per Action A.1.2.
		research staff roles and responsibilities, career progression and promotion opportunities, and contractual status all highlighted as concerns. Phase 2 of the ACF project will be reviewing these areas as a	Action B.2.1c: To create a dedicated area on the BU Research Blog for the RSA and to ensure this is kept up	research-staff-association/. The Research Staff webpage was updated

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
		<ul> <li>priority in 2015.</li> <li>One of the main concerns that has arisen through internal discussions as well as through the surveys undertaken with research staff and their line managers regards the contractual status of research staff at BU; as standard they are employed on Professional and Support Staff, rather than Academic, contracts. This is being review ed alongside the role of research staff and their career promotion as part of the ACF project (A.1.2).</li> </ul>	to date. Target date and success measure(s): To populate the first draft of the w ebpages by Spring 2015. To promote these pages and monitor traffic with the aim of show ing an increase in internal use of the pages. Ow ner: RKEO and RSA chairs	(http://blogs.bournemouth.ac.uk/research/researcher-toolbox/researcher- development/) and a section on careers guidance for research staff was added (http://blogs.bournemouth.ac.uk/research/researcher- toolbox/researcher-development/careers-guidance-for-research-staff/). We continue to monitor engagement with the page to ensure the information is current and of use to research staff. Focus group feedback in 2016 indicated that research staff find these pages useful and suggestions have been provided for how to improve them.
B.2.2	Commitment by everyone involved to improving the stability of employment conditions for researchers and implementing and abiding by the principles and terms laid down in the Fixed Term Employees Regulations	<ul> <li>2.2 Action (2012) – A small proportion of the current University researchers surveyed raised concems that prior to being given fixed-term contracts they were employed on full-time hours for significant periods of time on casual, hourly paid contracts (in one case this w as a succession of short- term contracts for a combined period of c. tw o years). The University will review the use of casual, hourly paid contracts and provide clearer advice and guidance to line managers on how this can and should be used. The University recognised that its overhead model and focus on the recovery of full economic costs often drives non- desirable behaviour in this area, something w hich it is actively reviewing. In addition, a review of researchers currently on casual, hourly paid contracts will be undertaken to ascertain w hether this practice is still ongoing and appropriate action w ill be taken.</li> <li>A review of all PTHP, casual and fixed-term contracts was undertaken in 2013-14 and amendments are being made to the appointment documentation and procedures to ensure appropriate usage and monitoring of these contract types.</li> <li>RKEO regularly review s costing and pricing policies, and this includes how research staff time is costed into projects. A non-fEC cost model has been developed that is being trialled with our KE units that have 'fast track status'. This trial started in March 2014 and is running for 12 months. If this is successful then it may be rolled out to other contract research/KE projects. The non-fEC model calculates overheads as a proportion of salary dependent on pay grade, regardless of job role.</li> </ul>	See Action A.1.3. Action B.2.2: To review the impact on employment contracts as part of the 'fast track' project. Target date and success measure(s): To conduct the first review as part of the fast track audit in Spring 2015 with the aim of show ing a decrease in the number of casual contracts and an increase in fixed- term/permanent contracts. Ow ner: RKEO	<b>B.2.2: COMPLETE:</b> Audits of the 'fast track' scheme took place in 2015 and 2016, how ever, it was found that the research and KE teams with 'fast track' status aren't employing hourly paid research staff. Most of the activities are consultancy or short courses and are resourced by existing staff, specialist external consultants or, on occasion, temporary technical or field assistants on a one-off basis. As such, this is no longer considered an appropriate means of review ing how short-termcontracts are used for researchers and this will formpart of the regular review undertaken by HR (see Action A.1.3).

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
B.2.3	Research managers should be required to participate in active performance management, including career development guidance, and supervision of those who work in their teams. Employers should ensure that research managers are made aware of, and understand their responsibilities for the management of researchers and should provide training opportunities, including equality and diversity training, to support research managers in doing this. Institutions will wish to consider how research managers' performance in these areas is developed, assessed and rewarded, and how effectively this supports good research management.	The leadership courses offered by OD have been refreshed to include programmes designed and run inhouse (for example Leadership and Management Essentials; Inspiring Leaders Programme; Associate Professors Programme) as well as programmes offered via the Leadership Foundation for Higher Education accessed either externally or internally (for example Leading Academic Departments; Preparing for Senior Strategic Leadership, Strategic Leadership Programme). Staff express an interest in attending these, and/or are recommended by their line managers. The value of participating in these programmes is extended and supported with provision of individual coaching sessions using coaches from the University's external coaching bank. In this way, leadership and (team) management- related issues specific to each individual can be addressed and review ed confidentially. 36 academic staff (five of whom are know n to be line managers of research staff) undertook leadership programmes in 2013/14, with one other such member of staff attending BU's new Foundation Programme in Coaching and Mentoring Practice. A number of HR development sessions are held throughout the year covering topics such as dignity in the workplace, managing conflict, recruitment and selection, setting and review ing appraisal objectives, and personal and professional development planning. All staff, including academic research managers and research staff, have access to two online resources to <u>support leaders in development for Principal</u> Investigators. Responses to the survey of line managers of research staff findicated that 50% of line managers are using the Good Practice Toolkit regularly, but are not using the Epigeum module or the Vitae resources. Responses to PIRLS13 and our internal survey of line managers of research staff findicated that line managers of research staff fielt confident with all performance management tasks, with the exception of providing careers advice and promotion opportunities. This latter point may relate to the review referred	See action C.3.1. Action B.2.3a: To continue to build this sub-principle into the leadership programmes. Target date and success measure(s): ongoing, how ever, the programmes are review ed on an annual basis Ow ner: OD Action B.2.3b: To improve promotion of the leadership development programmes, for example by proactively targeting appropriate research leaders and managers and encouraging them to participate. Target date and success measure(s): ongoing, how ever, the programmes (including their promotion) are review ed on an annual basis. Success measures will include: - the effectiveness of the programmes evaluated w ith positive feedback and ideas for improvement addressed; - individual programmes of coaching evaluated w ith positive feedback received; - responses to internal research staff/research line manager surveys positive and/or improved in relevant areas; - overall annual staff survey results improved year-on- year in relevant areas.	<ul> <li>B.2.3a and B.2.3b: IN PROGRESS:</li> <li>Leadership development: In 2014-15, 18% of the line managers of research staff participated in leadership development programmes and were supported by coaching sessions with a coach from the BU external coaching bank. Two are undertaking the LFHE 'Preparing for Senior Strategic Leadership' Programme that includes a 360 degree feedback process and is being run inhouse, with two others attending the LFHE 'Leading Departments' programme offered externally.</li> <li>In 2015-16, one research line manager will be taking part in an inhouse leadership development programme; another is a participant on the BU Foundation Programme in Coaching and Mentoring Practice (FPCMP) and one has recently been promoted to Professor. A programme of academic leadership development is currently being planned and will shortly be promoted. Research line manager engagement with this Programme will be reported in the next action plan update by which time the new cohorts will be underw ay.</li> <li>The Academic Leadership Programme (ALP) launched in January 2016. Module A of the Programme covers: leading and managing talent and potential; managing performance; and, succession planning. Other elements include support for developing academic careers. The ALP aims to give participants the know ledge, skills and motivation to effect change within their own spheres of control. Launched in 2016, seven research staff line managers (28%) are currently participating in the ALP.</li> <li>Development to support implementation of the revised ACF (ACF): a programme of development persons to support staff moving between grades 6 and 10+. Going forward, and once the model itself has been finalised, it will incorporate development sessions to support staff in developing their academic dentities and careers within the context of the ACF and career outputs matrix and in the medium to long term, e.g. 3 – 5 years. A session to support the use of these resources by research staff has conclude</li></ul>

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
		implementation of the revised ACF. A further series of sessions will be organised follow ing completion of Phase 2 of the review (see action B.2.1a).	Ow ner: OD Action B.2.3c: To raise	During the focus groups, both research staff and their line managers spoke of the importance of the role of the line manager and how instrumental this is in the support and development of the researcher. Some research staff highlighted examples of good practice displayed by their line managers and were able to articulate how this had helped them with the careers. How ever it was clear this wasn't the same across the board and the research staff agreed that a clarification of the line manager role and a more uniform approach as to how best to manage researchers would be beneficial. <b>B.2.3c: COMPLETE:</b> Resources are regularly promoted via the
			aw areness of the online resources by increasing internal promotion and improving signposting. To investigate w hether more sophisticated tracking of engagement w ith the online resources is possible. Target date and success	Research Blog and Project Officers in RKEO are signposting Pls to these resources as part of the project packs which are disseminated to Pls at the start of significant projects. Officers are also highlighting resources available to researchers in Faculty meetings (from Autumn 2015). We have collaborated with Research Support staff from Nottingham, Glasgow and Surrey to produce summary documents of various online researcher tools with a view to be published on the Research Blog in autumn 2016. The Vitae resources for Pls have been shared with all academic and research staff. It is standard practice for Epigeum modules to be sign-posted in all training delivered by RKEO such as supervisory
			measure(s): To set up a regular series of blog posts advertising the online resources and to ensure the Project Officers in RKEO are signposting Pls to these resources as part of the project set up and post- aw ard process. To liaise w ith the Graduate School	training, PGR induction and RKE Development Framew ork sessions. Improved tracking for the Epigeum modules w as investigated in 2015 (currently only tracks completions, not engagements) but unfortunately this w as not possible at the time. In 2016 w e released a new version of the Epigeum Ethics modules w hich includes enhancements such as better provision for visually impaired users. Customisation of the modules to reflect and promote BU specific practices is now possible and w e propose to apply improvements during 2017.
			and external suppliers about improved tracking. To be completed by summer 2015. Ow ner: RKEO	Resource issues have delayed the Ethics Roadshow but it is proposed this take place in the spring/summer of 2017 follow ing a full review of current ethics processes; this review includes implementation of a wide range of changes to the online systemand resources.
B.2.4	Organisational systems must be capable of supporting continuity of employment for researchers, such as funding between grants, other schemes for supporting time between grant funding, or systems for redeploying researchers within organisations where	<ul> <li>2.4 Action (2012) – production of central advice and guidance on how to manage this situation to ensure consistency and fairness to all researchers on fixed-term contracts.</li> <li>This w ork has yet to commence, how ever, the University has standard guidelines on the appropriate use of fixed term contracts and a University Code of Practice – Redundancy w hich ensure fair and consistent procedure.</li> </ul>	Action B.2.4: To produce a University-wide bridging fund policy and procedures to ensure fairness and consistency for research staff between grants/contracts. Target date and success measure(s): To have produced and had approved a policy by Summer 2015.	<b>B.2.4: COMPLETE:</b> The bridging fund scheme has been produced and w as ratified by URKEC in May 2015. £20k is set aside annually in RKEO's budget. Equality impact assessment has been completed and the scheme w as launched in August 2015. Six applications have been made to the fund to date; three w ere awarded. Feedback from research staff and line managers regarding the fund has been w holly positive.

Page 8 – updated December 2016

Section Sub p	principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
resour	irces allow.		Ow ner: RKEO (primary) and HR (secondary)	
resear transp accord proced betwee trade L emplo locally	,	Pay progression for all staff is transparent and in accordance with the JNCHES National Pay Framew ork Agreement. Pay progression guidelines, including clear criteria for progression, are available to all staff on the University's I-drive: I:\Personnel\Public\Pay Progression.	The review of this action has been incorporated into action B.2.1a.	
B.2.6 Resea offered develo as wel to add progre opport transp	archers need to be ad opportunities to op their own careers II as having access ditional pay ession. Promotion rtunities should be parent, effectively nunicated and open	<ul> <li>2.6 Action (2012) – to develop clear career progression frameworks for researchers and to actively promote these to academic research managers and researchers. To raise awareness of these opportunities via the regular ECR Forum meetings and BU Research Blog.</li> <li>As part of phase 1 of the ACF project, new promotion criteria and procedures for academic staff were approved and launched in autumn 2014. The career framework, including the role of research staff and their career promotion, is scheduled to be review ed in 2015 as part of the phase 2 of the project (A.1.2).</li> </ul>	Action B.2.6a: Phase 2 of the ACF project will seek to review promotion and development opportunities for research staff. The RCSG will have the opportunity to feed into this review. Once ratified, development opportunities will be reviewed, refreshed and aligned to the Framew ork/Fusion to assist staff in progressing their careers. Target date and success measure(s): Date yet to be confirmed how ever the aim is for it to be approved <del>by</del> Autumn 2015during 2015/16 academic year Ow ner: HR Action B.2.6b: To produce and promote case studies on researchers (including research staff and academics) at BU and how their careers have developed. Target date and success measure(s): The first batch of case studies will focus on	B.2.6a: IN PROGRESS: As per Action A.1.2. B.2.6b: COMPLETE: Four case studies of RAE 2008 ECRs were produced. Two were featured in the summer 2015 edition of InsideBU. Other case studies were produced of BUresearchers who went on to other careers, including an academic career, a HE management career and a research career in industry. These are available via the Research Blog. In addition, the RKEDF aims to create case studies on an annual basis to gain deeper insights into its impact at all stages of an academic career. RKEO will look to engage academics with their development trajectory by using 'learning logs' over a three year period. The 'learning logs' will be based on Vitae's RDF profile wheel. The timeframe is being agreed, via the BU 'enCourage' group, for members to meet with

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
			all staff from Spring 2015 Ow ner: RKEO	Subject to RKEDF participation, it is envisaged that there will be at least tw o case studies of RKEDF interaction per Faculty; one case study from an early career researcher and the other at senior lecturer or above with evidence of submission to three or more externally funded bids, successful or not. This is part of a range of measures being developed in the <u>RKEDF</u> Pathway Assessment Matrix.
	LE 3: Researchers are e	quipped and supported to be adaptable and flexib		
C.3.1	Researcher positions in the should be attractive in themselves (and not, for example, solely as potential stepping stones to permanent academic positions).	<ul> <li>In our survey of the line managers of research staff, we found that a third w ere aware of, and had advised their staff to access, the support available from BU's Careers and Employability Service. How ever, a third did not advise their staff to access this support and a third w ere not aw are that support w as available. Responses to a different question in the same survey revealed that a third of line managers felt unconfident w ith providing research staff with careers advice and guidance.</li> <li>Our survey of research staff revealed a similar issue in that only a third of respondents were aware of the services available to them to discuss their career development and 54% w ere not aw are of anyof the services available.</li> <li>3.1a Action (2012) – the University currently puts a dedicated training programme in place for researchers funded via certain grants such as Marie Curie Fellowships. Work will be undertaken to assess the benefits to providing this level of support and development to all researchers.</li> <li>RKEO has designed and launched the Bournemouth Researcher/Academic Development Scheme (BRAD), based on Vitae's Researcher Development Framew ork. This is a combination of w orkshops and online modules. Initial interest in the scheme w as strong and c. 30 colleagues attended the launch event.</li> <li>3.1b Action (2012) – to implement core staff development and induction programmes, including Associate Professor and Professor Development, research leaders, leadership and management core skills, and establish secondments, work with an external coach from BU's Coaching Bank resource. In</li> </ul>	See Action B.2.3. Action C.3.1: To liaise with the Careers and Employability Service to investigate providing specialist advice to research staff and to improve support provided to line managers of research staff. To ensure these opportunities are promoted sufficiently. Target date and success measure(s): Initial discussions to have taken place by Spring 2016 and a plan of action agreed and taken forward. Aimto increase the proportion of research staff aw are of the careers services available to them from 33% to 66% by autumn 2015. Ow ner: RKEO and Careers and Employability Service	C.3.1: COMPLETE: Dedicated careers guidance resources for research staff were added to the Research Blog in September 2015 (http://blogs.bournemouth.ac.uk/research/researcher-toolbox/researcher- development/careers-guidance-for-research-staff). Case studies of research staff who went on to pursue different careers were produced and added to the Blog. During the focus groups in 2016, most of the research staff present were aware of the dedicated careers guidance resources and the BU Careers and Employability Service, how ever, most had not engaged with the support available. This action, as it stands, is complete and the maintenance and promotion of these resources has moved to business as usual.

Page 10 – updated December 2016

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
		<ul> <li>addition, they can choose to participate in Action Learning Sets facilitated by OSD.</li> <li>A series of Leadership Masterclasses has been delivered to pick up themes emerging from the 2013 Leadership Conference and provide opportunities for continuing dialogue around all types of leadership, including academic leadership (the topic of one session led by a high profile speaker/researcherfrom within the HE sector).</li> <li>A second Leadership Conference will take place in February 2015. A Leadership and Management Self-Development tool has also been drafted for staff with these responsibilities to use for self-reflection and to promote meaningful dialogue at appraisal.</li> <li>Greater emphasis is now being placed on the Personal and Professional Development Planning (PPDP) aspect of appraisal prioritising the development of academic identity and career development within a medium to long term 3-5 year period, and in line with revisions to the ACF. Guidelines on w ork shadowing are now available on the <u>Staff Intranet</u>. The first draft of a secondment policy has been produced but needs further w ork by HR/OD before implementation.</li> </ul>		
C.3.2	A wide variety of career paths is open to researchers, and the ability to move between different paths is key to a successful career. All career paths should be valued equally.	<ul> <li>3.2 Action (2012) – for the Graduate School to implement the Researcher Development Framework to all postgraduate taught students from September 2013.</li> <li>Complete. This w as launched in 2013.</li> </ul>	See Action B.2.6. Action C.3.2: To review and strengthen the implementation of the Vitae RDF. To explore how research staff could be involved in the delivery of some of the training sessions for staff and PGRs. Target date and success measure(s): To run a short intensive block of sessions in summer 2015 and for at least 50 academic/research staff to attend at least one session. To have undertaken a thorough	<ul> <li>C.3.2: COMPLETE: The block of sessions (BRAD) took place in April 2015 (12 sessions – 103 attendees) with further sessions in June/July 2015 (6 sessions – 34 attendees). In total c. 96 academics/researchers participated in one or more BRAD events during 14-15 (target w as 50). The 2015-16 programme w as launched in November 2015. A block of sessions took place as part of the launch (five sessions – 38 attendees) with the main block of sessions held in April 2016 (15 sessions – 124 attendees). In total c. 91 academics/researchers participated in one or more BRAD events during 2015-16. This action is complete as BRAD has now been replaced by the Research and Know ledge Exchange Development Framew ork (RKEDF).</li> <li>The RKEDF w as launched in September 2016. This offers a range of opportunities for academics at all career stages to develop their skills, know ledge and capabilities in relation to research and know ledge exchange. It has been implemented in response to the changing external environment, and developed in consultation with academic and research staff. The RKEDF has been based on Vitae's RDF and ensures that it is inter-linked with BU's ACF. It provides a number of pathways grouped around key topic areas. Sessions are either delivered by BU staff with</li> </ul>

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
			review of provision by summer 2015 and to launch a full programme to staff and students by autumn 2015.	expertise in the topic (w hich includes research staff) or external facilitators, or comprise online materials w hich can be accessed at any time. This action is complete and has moved to business as usual.
			Ow ner: RKEO and OD	
C.3.3	Development of transferable skills, delivered through embedded training, in order to stay competitive in both internal and external job markets.	<ul> <li>3.3a Action (2012) – to conduct some internal and external research to assess whether holding an annual event for early career researchers, similar to the Vitae 'GRADschool' model, would be beneficial, and to potentially hold the first event in summer 2013.</li> <li>RKEO investigated interest in March 2013 and no large appetite was determined. How ever, the outline of this has been developed and designed and it is ready to run as and when required. When meeting in August 2013, the RCSG thought this sounded a worthw hile event and the RKEO will look into whether to run it in future. This is being taken forward as part of the redesign of how the University implements the Vitae researcher development framework in 2014-15 (action C.3.2).</li> <li>3.3b Action (2012) – to monitor and further promote the Researcher Development Framework for PGRs, and to establish, monitor and promote the Researcher Strough the BU Research Blog.</li> <li>Vitae's RDF has been embedded in the training programmes available to staff researchers and was launched in 2013. Many of the dovelopment framework in 2013. Many</li> </ul>	See Action B.2.6. Action C.3.3a: To review the current training and development provision for line managers of research staff and connect this with the BU Leadership Programmes. Target date and success measure(s): To have completed the review and made the required improvements by Spring 2016 Ow ner: RKEO and OD	<ul> <li>C.3.3a: COMPLETE: Engagement in 2014/15 w ith leadership development programmes both internal and external can be found against action B.2.3 above. The 2015 CROS and PIRLS survey results suggested that research line managers needed support primarily with mentoring, but also in advising research staff about their career development options and w ith managing budgets. Review ing current practice in, and capabilities for, mentoring, as w ell as developing a pool of academic career mentors (to w hich research staff would have access), are priority actions for Organisational Development.</li> <li>The enhanced resources marketed to line managers of research staff have been refreshed and are w idely available. The BU-w ide Development Opportunities 2016/17 document has been published and is available via the staff intranet; this is review ed and updated regularly. The RKE Development Framew ork has now been launched and details are available via the staff intranet. Development opportunities around Finance to be picked up by RKEO.</li> <li>OD and RKEO continue to w ork closely to ensure that resources are refreshed and are widely available and to align development opportunities explicitly with the Academic Career Matrix. In addition, line managers of research staff are considered within the RKEDF, particularly within the 'post-aw ard', 'building a team', and 'returning to academia after a break' pathw ays. These RKEDF pathw ays provide workshops and online sessions for financial and project management, how to build a research team, and building resilience, as well as other management-related topics. Research staff line managers were consulted in the development of the framew ork throughout 2015/16 and the RKEDF w as built into Faculty pre-appraisal sessions to ensure that academics could plan their development requirements for the corning year.</li> </ul>
		of the development opportunities offered to researchers via these frameworks focus on transferable research skills and how these skills can be used in different contexts, including different career pathways. CROS13 data indicated that research staff would prefer a more integrated approach to staff development across BU, a more standardised	Action C.3.3b: To coordinate a public engagement event as part of the annual Festival of Learning for researchers (staff and students) to present their w ork.	<ul> <li>C.3.3b: COMPLETE: The inaugural Lightning Talks public engagement event took place on 13<sup>th</sup> July 2015. Eight research staff and one PGR presented their research in a 3-minute thesis style event, open to the public, and profiled their research to c. 25 attendees (w ho gave an average event score of 8.3/10). This session was led by Dr Genoveva Esteban and Julie Northam. Making this an annual event has been taken forward by the RSA w ho organised another public engagement event,</li> </ul>
		quality and length of time and the opportunity to undertake more externally-focused events. A review of how the University implements	Target date and success measure(s): To run the first event as part of the Festival	profiling the work of BU research staff and PGRs, at the Festival of Learning in 2016; they are already working on plans for the 2017 event. Furthermore, RKEO hosted an internal Lightning Talks event in November 2015 (3 academics and 3 research staff presented their

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
		the Vitae RDF began in summer 2014 and is being overseen by a steering group. Faculty Research Committees are being canvassed for their view s about content and provision. The aim is to build on pre-existing development opportunities but to update and refresh this to provide a single programme for staff and PGRs. See Action C.3.2. Other activity	of Learning in July 2015, featuring presentations from c. 15 researchers (staff/student) and to attract c. 50 attendees. Aim for this to be an annual event as part of future Festivals. Ow ner: RKEO and RCSG member	research). The proportion of researchers and PGRs who undertake public engagement has definitely increased over the past four years. They are also taking part in more external public engagement events.
		RKEO launched the Writing Academy programme in 2014 to provide researchers with specialist support as w ell as the time and space required to w rite research outputs. Responses to the survey of line managers of research staff indicated that the majority (83%) felt that the volume and quality of the current training and development provision for research staff was sufficient. 67% felt that the volume of training and development opportunities for line managers of research staff was sufficient and 83% felt the quality w as sufficient. Feedback suggested that line managers need stronger, more engaging training and development opportunities rather than relying on online resources. We view public engagement as a vital part of being a researcher at BU and w e offer and support regular opportunities for researchers to engage in exciting public engagement activities as part of their roles. We have a dedicated KE and Impact team in RKEO, including a full-time Public Engagement Officer who	Action C.3.3c: To explore the possibility of holding an annual event for research staff to present their w ork to colleagues, ideally forming part of the existing PGR Conference. Target date and success measure(s): To explore the possibility by spring 2015. If it goes ahead this should feature in the PGR Conference from spring 2016 spring 2017. Aim to have at least ten research staff presenting their work at the event.	C.3.3c: COMPLETE: Discussions between RKEO, the Graduate School and research staff concluded that an annual event for research staff to present their w ork to colleagues internally w ould be w orthwhile but that the annual PGR Conference w as not the right venue for this. The BU RSA took this forward as a public engagement event at the Festival of Learning in 2016 and plan to make this an annual event (see Action C.3.3b).
	<ul> <li>w orks with researchers to identify exciting public engagement opportunities. In 2014 w e supported researchers to undertake public engagement in the science tents at Glastonbury and Bestival festivals as w ell as at BU's Festival of Learning and Festival of Learning on tour events.</li> <li>As per C.3.2 w e are currently reviewing our implementation of the Vitae RDF and this includes a review of how we offer training and development to researchers in areas such as communication and professional skills.</li> </ul>	Ow ner: RCSG Action C.3.3d: To increase the proportion of research staff and PGRs w ho undertake public engagement. Target date and success measure(s): To strive for 100% of research staff and BU-funded PGRs to have engaged w ith at least tw o public engagement activities by 2018. This will be monitored on an annual basis. Ow ner: RKEO, Graduate School & RCSG member	<b>C.3.3d: IN PROGRESS:</b> A number of new vehicles for public engagement have been developed, including events for the U3A and new Festival of Learning On Tour (for example, in Bournemouth Tow n Centre). We are actively encouraging research staff and PGRs to be involved in the annual Festival of Learning; for example, the inclusion of a PGR in the event proposal will allow the event to score more highly and therefore be more likely to be supported. Of the 136 events scheduled to take place during the Festival of Learning 2016, c.95 w ere led by academics and at least 40 included a PGR co-organiser. RKEO are on track to have involvement fromat least one PGR in each public engagement initiative, with PGRs taking part in Festival of Learning on tour events, a public lecture day and Café Scientifique. We are exploring new public engagement vehicles for PGRs, such as Pint of Science. Public engagement training continues to take place regularly for both research staff and PGRs. The Graduate School is being restructured in 2017 w hich offers the opportunity to enhance the processes which capture the numbers of PGRs undertaking public engagement and to improve the central support provided.	

Researchers should have			
access to professional, independent advice on career management in general, particularly the prospect of employment beyond their immediate discipline base, or offering training and placements to broaden awareness of other fields and sectors.	Since early 2013 all BU staff have access to an Employee Assistance Programme that offers free and confidential access to information, advice and support on a range of topics, including an annual career management and guidance session.	See Action B.2.6.	
Clear systems that help researchers plan their career development. Policies and processes for promotion and reward need to be transparent.	The ECR Forum has been replaced with the RSA (see Action B.2.1b). Clear and transparent processes for promotion and rew ard are available from HR. See sections C.2.5 and C.2.6 for information on pay progression. As per section A.1.2, new policies and procedures for promotion and rew ard policies for academic staff were finalised and launched in 2014 and phase 2 of the project is underw ay to review this for research staff.	See Action B.2.6.	
Employers should provide a planned induction programme for researchers to ensure early effectiveness through the understanding of the organisation and its policies and procedures. They should ensure research managers provide effective research environments for the training and development of researchers and encourage them to maintain or start their continuous professional development.	<ul> <li>3.6a Action (2012) - to raise the profile of research in the existing universal new staff induction event, including profiling the Concordat.</li> <li>As of 2013, all new research staff and their line managers also receive a copy of the Concordat, the relevant Vitae Concordat letter, information about our action plan and a copy of the Code of Practice for the Recruitment and Development of Research Staff as part of their induction.</li> <li>CROS13 data indicated that 53% of research staff felt the University induction event w as useful (sector average 33.6%). A specialist induction event for all new academic staff has been re-introduced. This looks at all aspects of BU's Fusion strategy, provides positive role models and explores how research, education and professional practice can be successfully integrated.</li> <li>3.6b Action (2012) - the induction checklisthas recently been reviewed and work is underway to replace this</li> </ul>	Action C.3.6a: To ensure that appropriate research leaders and managers attend the specialist academic induction. Target date and success measure(s): these inductions are already taking place. OD will review participation and ensure research leaders and managers are attending. We will ensure key messages, such as the Concordat and research ethics, are built into the programme by spring 2015. Ow ner: OD Action C.3.6b: To develop and run regular research- specific induction events for	<ul> <li>General: CROS 15 data indicates that most respondents found the BU- wide and local inductions useful (60% and 80% respectively).</li> <li>C.3.6a: COMPLETE: The Academic Career Development Programme now identifies three compulsory induction events for new academic staff: the generic New Staff Induction; the specialist Academic Career Development in Fusion and the RKEO Research Induction to which they are automatically invited. The content of the two specialist inductions is complementary and explicit links betw een the two are being strengthened.</li> <li>C.3.6b: COMPLETE: The first RKEO-led induction for new academic/research staff took place in April 2015; betw een three and four now take place each year. Betw een 20-30 academics/researchers attend each induction. Positive feedback has been received from attendees.</li> </ul>
	career management in general, particularly the prospect of employment beyond their immediate discipline base, or offering training and placements to broaden awareness of other fields and sectors. Clear systems that help researchers plan their career development. Policies and processes for promotion and reward need to be transparent. Employers should provide a planned induction programme for researchers to ensure early effectiveness through the understanding of the organisation and its policies and procedures. They should ensure research managers provide effective research environments for the training and development of researchers and encourage them to maintain or start their continuous professional	<ul> <li>on a range of topics, including an annual career management in general, particularly the prospect of employment beyond their immediate discipline base, or offering training and placements to broaden awareness of other fields and sectors.</li> <li>Clear systems that help researchers plan their career development.</li> <li>Policies and processes for promotion and reward need to be transparent.</li> <li>The ECR Forum has been replaced with the RSA (see Action B.2.1b).</li> <li>Clear and transparent processes for promotion and reward are available from HR. See sections C.2.5 and C.2.6 for information on pay progression. As per section A.1.2, new policies and procedures for promotion and rew ard policies for academic staff were finalised and launched in 2014 and phase 2 of the project is underw ayto review this for research staff.</li> <li>S.6a Action (2012) - to raise the profile of research in the existing universal newstaff induction event, including profiling the Concordat.</li> <li>As of 2013, all new research staff and their line managers also receive a copy of the Code of Practice for the Recruitment and Development of the organisation and its colicies and procedures. They should ensure research managers orovide effective research research managers and encourage them to maintain or start their continuous professional development.</li> <li>CROS13 data indicated that 53% of research staff felt the University induction event was useful (sector average 33.6%). A specialist induction event for all new academic staff has been re-introduced. This looks at all aspects of BUS Fusion strategy, provides positive role models and explores how research, education and professional professional practice can be successfully integrated.</li> <li>3.6b Action (2012) - the induction checklisthas recently</li> </ul>	career management in general, particularly the prospect of employment beyond their immediate discipline base, or offering training and placements to broaden awareness of other fields and sectors.       on a range of topics, including an annual career management and guidance session.         Clear systems that help researchers plan their career development.       The ECR Forum has been replaced with the RSA (see Action B.2.1b).       See Action B.2.6.         Clear systems that help researchers plan their career development.       The ECR Forum has been replaced with the RSA (see Action B.2.1b).       See Action B.2.6.         Clear systems that help researchers plan their career development.       Clear and transparent processes for promotion and rew ard are available from HR. See sections C.2.5 and C.2.6 for information on pay progression. As per section A.1.2, new policies and procedures to promotie on and rew ard policies for academic staff werefinalised and launched in 2014 and phase 2 of the project is underw ay to review this for research including profiling the Concordat.       Action C.3.5a: To ensure that appropriate research including profiling the Concordat.         Employers should provide aplanned induction orogramme for researchers to ensure researchers to ensure researchers for the training and development of the organisation and its concordat, the relevant Vitae Concordat letter, information about our action plan and copy of the Code of Practice for the Recruitment and Development of Research staff felt the University induction event for all new cademic staff hese of BUS Fusion strategy, provides positive role models and explores how research, education and professional practice can be successfully integrated.         3.6b Action (2012) – the induction checklistants possible

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
		<ul> <li>which specifically highlights the Concordat. This will be investigated.</li> <li>Induction processes and resources were review ed in 2013-14 and a refreshed version of the induction checklist produced. Resources now include 'New to BU' webpages profiling essential information for any new member of staff. This includes specific information about the support provided to researchers by RKEO.</li> </ul>	Target date and success measure(s): To design and run the first events from spring 2015 and to run these on a regular basis. The content and delivery wil be review ed regularly. Ow ner: RKEO Action C.3.6c: To develop better support for local induction of academic and research staff. Target date and success measure(s): To be taken forw ard by the OD Adviser from December 2015. Aim for this change to be positively reflected in the CROS 17 data. Ow ner: OD	<b>C.3.6c: IN PROGRESS:</b> The development of better support for the local induction of academic and research staff is being taken forw ard by the OD Adviser. To inform this w ork they will develop a closer w orking relationship with the RSA and have already started to attend the bimonthly RSA meetings. Feedback from CROS and our internal research staff survey has been shared with the DDRPPs and is being taken forw ard at Faculty level.
C.3.7	Articulation and encouragement of the skills that should be developed at each stage of their staff development frameworks.	<ul> <li>3.7 Action (2012) – to develop and implement documentation on Academic Career Pathways, to include specific Researcher Career Pathways, as part of the HR&amp;OD Delivery Plan for the 2013-14 academic year.</li> <li>As per section 1.2.</li> </ul>	Action C.3.7: To develop and implement documentation on academic career pathw ays, to include specific research staff career pathw ays, as part of phase 2 of the ACF project. Target date and success measure(s): Date yet to be confirmed how ever the aim is for it to be approved <del>by</del> Autumn 2015 during 2015/16 academic year Ow ner: HR	C.3.7: IN PROGRESS: As per Action A.1.2.
C.3.8	Provision of a specific career development strategy for researchers at all stages of their career, regardless of their contractual situation, which should include the availability of mentors.	<ul> <li>3.8 Action (2012) – for the existing mentoring arrangements to be reviewed and improvements made where appropriate, and for the Academic Mentor Database to continue to be promoted to staff.</li> <li>As detailed in section A.1.0, we developed and launched the BU Code of Practice for the Employment and Development of Research Staff in 2014. This document provides details</li> </ul>	Action C.3.8a: To deliver the FPCMP programme and to develop the BU Mentor Netw ork. Target date and success measure(s): To recruit the second cohort in early 2015 and for participants to	C.3.8a: IN PROGRESS: The <u>Academic Career Development Programme</u> includes career mentoring. Further w ork is being carried out in 2016-17 to establish this aspect of the Programme, with the intention of having a broad range of mentors able to mentor in all aspects of our Fusion strategy. Consideration will be given as part of this w ork to mentoring arrangements for research staff. Academic case studies of good, 'Fused' and/or innovative practice are being developed w ith staff w ho can also then opt to join a pool of BU

Page 15 – updated December 2016

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
		<ul> <li>on the specific career development for researchers, including mentoring arrangements.</li> <li>A new Foundation Programme in Coaching and Mentoring Practice (FPCMP) has been launched to develop internal capability for coaching and mentoring, and the BU Mentor Netw ork is being developed as part of this initiative. Both Programme and Netw ork are open to research staff and w ill be promoted to them.</li> <li>As part of the Grants Academy scheme w e are piloting external mentoring for eight BU academics in 2014-15. This w ill be review ed in summer 2015.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>validate successfully as internal coaches by Spring 2016. To develop the BU Mentor Netw ork alongside the FPCMP.</li> <li>Ow ner: OD</li> <li>Action C.3.8b: To review and evaluate the success of the external mentoring arrangements for the Grants Academy.</li> <li>Target date and success measure(s): The first cohort of mentees started in September 2014. This will be formally review ed in summer/autumn 2015. We are aiming for mentees to have each submitted c. 1 research proposal and for all to have found the mentoring process useful. We will strengthen the structure for the mentoring arrangements for the second cohort in 2015-16.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mentors. This w ork will be linked to the case studies already produced by RKEO.</li> <li>The Mentoring Steering Group had its initial meeting on 10th February 2016. A mentor profile (similar to the BU coach profile) has been developed, with some of the information it contains being used to populate the SUMAC Mentoring Administration Database. Six mentor profiles have now been developed for inclusion on the Academic Career Development Staff Intranet pages.</li> <li>C.3.8b: COMPLETE: RKEO evaluated the effectiveness of the external mentors provided through the Grants Academy on an on-going basis; a full review is planned for 2016-17. Informal feedback in both 2014-15 and 2015-16 has been positive and all mentors agreed to continue. Ten mentees benefitted frommentors and all but one of the mentees submitted research applications for external funding as PI. In total, 30 applications have been submitted as PI with ten successfully obtaining funding (three are still pending a decision).</li> <li>From September 2016, the Grants Academy has been replaced by the RKEDF. Therefore, we are amending the way the mentorship scheme will w ork. Mentoring will now align with the BU Research Centres who have each identified their research aims for the next three years. We will w ork with the Research Centres, giving them ow nership of mentoring as they will identify w ho will benefit the most from this; this will have a particular emphasis on ECRs. We will be able to secure appropriate external mentors will also be able to be obtained by OD's scheme.</li> </ul>
C.3.9	Research managers should actively encourage researchers to undertake, plan for and record CPD.	<ul> <li>3.9 Action (2012) – monitor the uptake of researchers to the development opportunities provided during 2012-13. Use the data collected from PIRLS 2013 to assess how principal investigators are undertaking CPD with their research staff.</li> <li>The majority of research staff have an appraisal (CROS13 data indicates that 85% had undertaken an appraisal within the last tw o years and, of those who had not, most w ere still on probation, this is compared to the sector average of 60%).</li> <li>57% of respondents to our research staff survey in 2014 had a personal and professional development plan in place, 43% had a long-term career development plan in</li> </ul>	Ow ner: RKEO Action C.3.9a: To continue to monitor engagement with appraisal ensuring that research staff have an annual appraisal and personal and professional development plans in place. Target date and success measure(s): To review engagement with appraisal tw ice each year. We are aiming for 100% of eligible research staff to have an annual appraisal.	<b>C.3.9a: IN PROGRESS:</b> PIRLS 15 indicated that 97% of research managers had participated in appraisal in the last tw o years, with 63% reporting the process to have been useful overall. CROS 15 indicated that 50% of research staff had done the same (25% w ere not eligible), with 100% reporting the process to have been useful overall. How ever, research staff appraisals are not all being logged formally on CoreHR, thus making it difficult for accurate tracking to take place. In addition, it emerged during the research staff focus groups in autumn 2016 that there is confusion regarding the documentation that needs to be completed w ith researchers using different versions of the appraisal form. There appears to be confusion among research staff and their line managers regarding the correct paperw ork to complete, particularly for appraisal and pay progression.

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
		place and 57% w ere mentored.	Ow ner: OD Action C.3.9b: Linked to action C.3.2, we will review attendance figures for the Vitae Researcher Development Framew ork sessions to ensure research staff are attending these. Target date and success measure(s): We are aiming for at least 50 academic/research staff to attend at least one session at the 2015 intensive programme. We will review attendance figures on an annual basis and seek feedback on the training provision via CROS, PIRLS and our annual surveys. Ow ner: RKEO	<b>C.3.9b: COMPLETE:</b> See Actions C.3.2 and D.5.5. The RKEDF w as launched in September 2016 (see C3.2 for more detail). RKEO and OD are w orking together to ensure that staff understand how the pathways w ithin the framew ork can support their achievement of outputs identified in the Academic Career Matrix as w ell as how it aligns to the Vitae RDF. The first year programme is available on the OD intranet. Reference to development on offer from the various pathways under the RKEDF have been added to static Research Blog pages, particularly those available w ithin the <u>'research lifecycle'</u> , <u>'research toolkit'</u> , and <u>'research funder guide'</u> . RKEO and OD are arranging 'Faculty roadshows' to continue the promotion of the framew ork throughout 2016-17.
PRINCIP	LE 4: The importance of	researchers' personal and career development, a	and lifelong learning, is cle	arly recognised and promoted at all stages of their career
C.4.10	Researchers should be empowered by having a realistic understanding of, and information about, their own career development and career direction options as well as taking personal responsibility for their choices at the appropriate times. Employers should have appraisal systems for assessing researchers' performance	The Employee Assistance Programme w as launched to all BU staff in 2013.	Complete. This has been incorporated into actions C.3.9a and C.3.1.	
C.4.11	Development activities, including preparation for academic practice, should be open to researchers.	As detailed in previous sections there are numerous opportunities for training and development open to research staff, many of which include preparation for academic practice. For example, the Grants Academy scheme develops skills in academic w riting. We run a development scheme based on the Vitae RDF and w e promote the Vitae Leadership Development for Principal Investigators resources; these are recognisable within the sector. In addition the University advises academic research managers to encourage their researchers to	Action C.4.11: To review the content and delivery of the development schemes on a regular basis. Target date and success measure(s): We will review the schemes in summer 2015 and annually thereafter	<b>C.4.11: IN PROGRESS:</b> RKEO have review ed all of the RKE development schemes and have implemented one overarching scheme, the RKEDF, from September 2016 (see C.3.9b). The RKEDF w as launched in September 2016 (see Action C.3.2 for more detail). The evaluation and monitoring team 'enCourage' have designed a 'pathw ay assessment matrix' to determine w hat, how, why and who we are evaluating for each event, as w ell as developing a vision, mission and aims for the RKEDF itself. The timetable for reporting includes individual events, w hich will receive a short evaluation immediately after each event is held; together w ith a first evaluation of the RKEDF in Autumn 2017 and

Page 17 – updated December 2016

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
		undertake teaching, demonstrating and supervision duties to develop their academic skills (CROS13 indicates that 96% of research staff undertake these activities). The Faculty of Science and Technology, for example, actively encourages all researchers to be involved in teaching to enhance their transferable skills and provide different experiences; in recent years there have been examples of research staff developing into lecturers in the Faculty.	Ow ner: RKEO and OD	<ul> <li>then a full evaluation in Autumn 2019.</li> <li>A final evaluation of the now obsolete development programmes (Grants Academy, EUADS and BRAD) is being carried out in 2016-17 and will be reported to URKEC. 140 academics (academics, research staff and some PGRs) participated in the Grants Academy over a four year period. This resulted in 269 applications being submitted as PI for external research funding and a total of &gt;£2M being aw arded in research income. 50 academics participated in EUADS (aimed at more senior researchers) over a five year period and submitted 92 applications as PI for external research funding with c. £1.7Mincome aw arded. The BRAD attendance numbers are reported against Action C.3.2.</li> <li>32 academic/research staff attended the 'Introduction to Education Practice' events in 2014-15 and 2015-16 (that can lead to Associate Fellow ship of the Higher Education Academy or HEA).</li> <li>BU has submitted is application for Institutional Re-accreditation by HEA of the PG Cert in Education Practice and TeachBU CPD route to Fellow ship. The reaccreditation documents explicitly states that participants on the 'Introduction to Education Practice' will submit their applications for Associate Fellow ship via the TeachBU route, after having completed some of the w ork during the three day introductory programme.</li> </ul>
C.4.12	Researchers are to be provided with teaching and demonstrating opportunities as part of their career development.	Training and support are provided to researchers and academics with teaching responsibilities as part of the University's Educational Excellence Programme, PG <u>Certificate in Education Practice</u> , and <u>Introduction to</u> <u>Education Practice</u> development programmes. The latter programme is a three day event designed to prepare PGRs to undertake their teaching responsibilities. The individual master classes and events that formpart of these development programmes are also open to researchers as standalone events.	Complete. This has been incorporated into action C.4.11.	

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
C.4.13	Researchers should have an input into policy and practice through appropriate representation at staff meetings and on organisation or management committees.	As of January 2013 URKEC has included a research staff representative who has the remit of representing research staff views. Our internal survey of research staff indicated that they felt they had sufficient opportunities to input into Faculty meetings (such as research centre meetings and Faculty Academic Boards) but that they felt this did not extend to University-wide meetings (such as URKEC), policies or procedures.	See Action B.2.1b. Action C.4.13a: To consider including a research staff representative on all Faculty RKE Committees with a standing agenda item for them to provide feedback from other research staff in the Faculty.	<b>C.4.13a: COMPLETE:</b> The terms of reference and membership of the Faculty-based committees w ere discussed at the URKEC meeting in September 2015. It was agreed that the FRKECs would have a responsibility for ensuring compliance w ith all national concordats, as w ell as for this committee and the Faculty Research Degrees Committee to each have a research staff representative included in the voting membership. The Faculty DDRPPs have confirmed this is working and interesting Faculty-level discussions about the implementation of the Concordat are taking place.
			Target date and success measure(s): This will be discussed with the Deans once the new Faculties are established with the aim of changing memberships from autumn 2015 Ow ner: RCSG and URKEC	
			Action C.4.13b: To ensure the research staff representative at URKEC has a standing agenda item to provide feedback from the RSA and on behalf of other research staff across the University.	C.4.13b: COMPLETE: This was actioned in early 2015 and is working well.
			Target date and success measure(s): This will be added to the URKEC agenda from spring 2015 Ow ner: URKEC	
C.4.14	Mentoring arrangements should be supported by employers as a key mechanism for career development and enhancement.	Mentoring opportunities are available to researchers. See section C.3.8 for further details.	See Action C.3.8a.	
PRINCIP	LE 5: Individual researc	· · ·	actively engage in their ow	n personal and career development, and lifelong learning
D.5.1	Researchers are employed to advance knowledge and should exercise and develop increased capacity for	<ul> <li>5.1 Action (2012) – The BU Code of Good Practice in Research was last updated in 2006 and needs to be reviewed and updated.</li> <li>The BU Code of Good Practice in Research w as significantly revised in 2014 and w as</li> </ul>	Action D.5.1: To continue to embed the principles of the Concordat to Support Research Integrity and to raise aw areness of its	<b>D.5.1: IN PROGRESS:</b> All research staff were emailed about the Concordat to Support Research Integrity in the early part of 2015. Information has also been added to the Research Blog. CROS 15 indicated that 67% of BU research staff are aware of the Concordat (against our target of 50% and up from 28% in CROS 13) (28%
Page 19 -	- updated December 2016			

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
	independent, honest and critical thought throughout their careers.	<ul> <li>approved by URKEC on 24 September 2014. This sets out the standards and conduct expected of all those engaged in research at the University and clearly sets out the principles of good research practice, including independent thought, honesty and integrity.</li> <li>CROS13 data indicated that 72% of research staff had not heard of the Concordat to Support Research Integrity (sector average 78%).</li> </ul>	importance amongst staff. Target date and success measure(s): as per the University's action plan for the Concordat to Support Research Integrity. We are aiming for CROS15 data to show an increase from 28% to 50% for the proportion of research staff who have heard of the Concordat, and for this to increase to 75% by CROS17.	<ul> <li>nationally). The majority of BU respondents (50%) have heard of it but don't know the detail and 33% have never heard of it (20% and 73% respectively nationally).</li> <li>RKEO are w orking closely with the RSA and are supporting their coffee mornings on specific research topics such as the Concordat to Support Research Integrity.</li> <li>The chairs of the tw o BU Ethics Panels w ill take up responsibility to oversee research integrity at BU and this should be formalised at the next UREC meeting in January 2017. An annual statement outlining our activities in supporting the Concordat will be done and taken to URKEC for approval; this will be shared with research and academic staff. The Concordat is referred to and promoted in all ethics training sessions.</li> </ul>
D.5.2	Researchers should develop their ability to transfer and exploit knowledge where appropriate and facilitate its use in policy making and the commercialisation of research.	<ul> <li>5.2 Action (2012) – the University does not currently run specific knowledge exchange training for academics/researchers and will assess whether this would be of benefit. This could include information on how knowledge exchange is part of the research process, how to engage with external organisations, networking, commercialisation and IP, and public engagement and outreach.</li> <li>In 2014 w e restructured our central research and KE support service (RKEO) and created a dedicated KE and Impact Team to further support researchers with these activities.</li> <li>BU has invested in a Policy and Public Affairs Coordinator and have developed a Public Affairs Strategy with the aim of increasing the use of BU research in policy making. In addition, an Engagement and Outreach Netw ork with membership across BU has been established.</li> <li>RKEO offered a number of dedicated KE sessions as part of our Vitae RDF programme in 2013-14 and 2014-15, and these will be incorporated into a single development programme for staff and PGRs (see Action C.3.1). We launched a cohort-based KTP development scheme in autumn 2014 to provide dedicated support to researchers interested in applying for funding for KTPs.</li> <li>We are currently reviewing our commercialisation and IP policy to better support researchers with knowledge transfer, exploitation and commercialisation.</li> </ul>	Ow ner: RKEO See Actions C.3.1, C.3.3b and C.3.3c. Action D.5.2: To finalise the updated commercialisation and IP policy. Target date and success measure(s): To finalise and have the policy ratified by summer 2015 Ow ner: PVC	D.5.2: IN PROGRESS: The final draft of the policy has been agreed by UET and w as presented to the Unions in May 2015. UCU raised some concerns and these w ere discussed at a meeting in February 2016. Some minor amendments w ere agreed. Unfortunately the IP policy has been delayed further and w ork is continuing betw een BU and UCU to get the policy finalised. The main changes are that IP w ill be given to the individual staff and the rew ards structure will be more favourable to academics. The policy w as presented to URKEC in September 2016 for comment and, follow ing further resolution w ith UCU, the updated IP Policy is due to be approved by the end of 2016.

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
		<ul> <li>In 2013 w e launched BU's inaugural Festival of Learning, a c.10 day festival offering c.100 free activities, run by researchers, to members of the public. This has become an annual event in the BU calendar and is supported by the Festival of Learning on tour events. We attract c. 4,000 attendees to each Festival and attendees give excellent feedback.</li> </ul>		
D.5.3	Researchers should recognise their responsibility to conduct and disseminate research results in an honest and ethical manner and to contribute to the wider body of knowledge.	<ul> <li>5.3 Action (2012) – to action the recommendations made as part of the BU Ethics Review2012.</li> <li>We updated the Research Ethics Code of Practice in 2013 and strengthened support for ethics by launching an online ethics checklist (mandatory for all research projects) and a training unit for research ethics that is mandatory for all academics, research staff and PGRs to complete (completion rates are currently 91%).</li> <li>In February 2014 w e launched a new governance structure for research ethics w hereby all research considered to be above minimum risk is review ed by one of tw o subject-specific panels. All research at the University must go through this model, including all student research.</li> </ul>	Action D.5.3: To continue to embed the new ethics governance structure, including raising aw areness of the importance of research ethics across the University. Target date and success measure(s): To increase the number of academic/research staff w ho have completed the ethics module to 98% by summer 2015. Ow ner: RKEO	D.5.3: IN PROGRESS: There is a legacy issue with how the ethics module pass rate w as being calculated w hich has affected the feasibility of the target for this action. Current compliance for completing the ethics module is c. 70% of all academic and research staff and PGRs, how ever, 100% of all those requiring ethical approval have undertaken the training. There will be a further emailing of all non-compliant staff with the aim of achieving 75% compliance w ithin the 2016-17 academic year. For those w ho still do not complete the end of module test a monitoring report will be produced and highlighted to all DDRPPs and Deans. UREC increased the ethics panel membership in 2016 and there are now ethics champions in each faculty who are actively engaged in overseeing approvals for low risk PGR research. As part of the new ACF, in addition to ethics training for supervisors and PGRs, additional sessions targeting specific areas of interest (working with children, informed consent under the Mental Health Capacity Act, etc.) will seek to engage staff around ethical issues pertinent to their own subject areas and research interests. As part of the review of ethics processes for PGRs has been completed and a new approval structure is now in place and has been supported with new user and approver guides. A broader review of the system of ethical approval for academic and research staff will involve the audit of existing research to assess the consistency of approach.
D.5.4	Researchers should also be aware that the skills and achievements required to move on from a research position may not be the same as the skills and achievements which they displayed to reach that position.	See section and action C.3.7.	No further actions for now.	

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
D.5.5	Researchers should recognise that the primary responsibility for managing and pursuing their career is theirs. Research managers and employers have a responsibility to provide honest advice and appropriate structures, and to equip researchers with the tools to manage their own careers. Research managers should encourage CPD.	The University considers researchers as independent researchers responsible for seeking out the opportunities to develop their ow n careers. They are supported in their endeavours by a range of services, information and tools, such as their line manager, the performance appraisal process, OD, RKEO, the Careers and Employability Service and the BU Research Blog, and this provision is regularly reviewed to ensure it meets with researcher needs. Academic research managers encourage researchers to make the most of the support available how ever the emphasis is on the researcher to take responsibility for their ow n career development.	Action D.5.5: To regularly review provision to ensure it meets with researcher needs. To use CROS, PIRLS and staff surveys as a means of collating this information. Target date and success measure(s): To review provision in summer 2015 and regularly thereafter Ow ner: RCSG	<b>D.5.5: IN PROGRESS:</b> Resources and support available to research staff and their line managers is regularly review ed. Feedback from staff informs these review s. Where appropriate, new actions have been identified and taken forward/planned and embedded in updated action plans.
D.5.6	Researchers should ensure that their career development requirements and activities are regularly discussed, monitored and evaluated. Researchers are encouraged to record their Personal Development Planning (PDP) and CPD activities.	All researchers are part of the appraisal cycle w hich includes a personal and professional development plan. See sections B.2.3, C.3.1 and C.3.6. A record staff attendance at development sessions organised in- house is kept centrally by OD.	No further actions for now. This has been incorporated into actions B.2.3, C.3.1 and C.3.6.	
PRINCIP	LE 6: Diversity and equa	lity must be promoted in all aspects of the recrui	tment and career manager	ment of researchers
E.6.1	The UK legislative framework outlaws discrimination on the basis of protected characteristics. Public bodies should take steps to promote equality and to develop schemes and action plans related to gender, race and disability to address specific issues of underrepresentation or lack of progression.	The University's Dignity, Diversity and Equality Policy) Statement confirms the University "is committed to both the avoidance of unlaw ful discrimination and the positive promotion of diversity and equality. In pursuit of this it is essential that no person shall experience more or less favourable treatment on the grounds of disability, gender, gender expression and identity, sexual orientation, marital or parental status, age, race, colour, ethnic origin, nationality (subject to UKBA permission), trade union membership and activity, political or religious beliefs, socio-economic background and any other distinction". The University's Recruitment and Selection Policy and Procedures document is consistent with the Dignity, Diversity and Equality Policy) Statement.	Action E.6.1: To annually review dignity and diversity at BU. Target date and success measure(s): To review activities and produce an annual diversity report every year (summer) Ow ner: Dignity, Diversity & Equality Steering Group	E6.1: IN PROGRESS: The DDE annual reports 2015 and 2016 w ere presented to ULT and approved. The 2015 annual report video is published at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NI- bkiap78A&list=PLCAGWsnZKYKXKOQDkw snpfor3EyQnnsCa&index=1. The 2016 video is being finalised. Diversity events are run throughout the year, including events to mark: World Mental Health Day; Disability History Month; LGBT History Month; Eating Disorder Week; International Women's Day; etc.
	undated December 2016	As part of its value statements, the <u>University's Strategic</u> <u>Plan 2012-18</u> makes a number of commitments to equality and diversity, by talking about the opportunity "to learn fromother cultures" and "increasing diversity, equality, inclusivity and internationalisation." The plan		

Page 22 – updated December 2016

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
		builds on this through its commitment tow ards creating an "increasingly internationally diverse staff and student body" (C5B) and "realis[ing] the benefits of a diverse and engaged w orkforce" (P3D).		
		The University requires an equality assessment to be regularly carried out on all policies and procedures. For example, a number of equality assessments were carried out on the internal preparations for the REF 2014 submission (such as on the code of practice and on the preparation exercises) to ensure these did not inadvertently discriminate against individual researchers / groups of researchers. The University has a Dignity, Diversity and Equality Steering Group w hich leads strategy and policy on all issues related to students and staff. The University's E&D Adviser regularly organises events in conjunction		
		w ith staff and student groups to promote diversity, and an annual review of activities in this area in conducted.		
E.6.2	The Concordat encourages the recruitment and retention of researchers from the widest pool of available talent, including those from diverse backgrounds.	See section E.6.1.	No further actions for now. This has been incorporated into Action E.6.1.	

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
E.6.3	Address the disincentives and indirect obstacles to retention and progression in research careers which may disproportionately impact on some groups more than others.	<ul> <li>6.3 Action (2012) – achieve institutional Athena Swan bronze award and start work with academic Schools towards the Athena Swan silver award.</li> <li>The University is currently working towards an institutional Athena Sw an bronze award (anticipated submission in April 2015), with a view to supporting a couple of the Faculties to w ork towards the Athena Sw an silver award over the next few years. The Head of the Graduate School is leading the Athena Sw an Steering Group, with support from the E&amp;D Adviser. The second Athena Sw an Week took place at BU from 16-19 June 2014.</li> <li>Other activity</li> <li>A Women's Academic Netw ork (WAN) was established and launched in September 2013. The Netw ork has been active in organising a series of events open to staff across the University and delivered by some high-profile external speakers, such as Germaine Greer in 2014. The group is currently exploring the possibility of a w omen's mentoring scheme.</li> <li>BU submitted proportionally less female researchers than male researchers to REF 2014. This needs to be further understood.</li> </ul>	Action E.6.3a: To be aw arded a bronze institutional Athena Sw an aw ard and to make submissions for at least tw o silver departmental aw ards. Target date and success measure(s): To submit to the April 2015 deadline for the institutional bronze aw ard and submit in spring 2018 (2 x silver) Ow ner: Athena Swan steering group Action E.6.3b: To review the diversity data for the REF 2014 preparation and submission. Target date and success measure(s): To review the data by spring 2015 and to	<ul> <li>E6.3a:</li> <li>COMPLETE: BU signed the new Athena SWAN charter in 2014-15 and achieved the Athena Sw an bronze aw ard in October 2015. The new Athena Sw an institutional team is meeting regularly and engagement across the University is good. Where appropriate, research staff representatives are members of the Athena Sw an Faculty and institutional committees. A short video has been produced w hich talks about w hy staff supported the Athena Sw an submission at BU (available from: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=05ICfkcGEjs&amp;list=PLCAGWsnZ KYKXKOQDkw snpfor3EyQnnsCa&amp;index=2).</li> <li>IN PROGRESS: Six departments/f aculties are already w orking on departmental submissions (FHSS, Dept of Psychology, Dept of Computing, Dept of Life and Environmental Sciences, Media Production Dept, Dept of Sport). Unconscious bias development sessions have been requested for the departments making SWAN submissions.</li> <li>BU has become a member of the ECU – Race Equality Charter, signed in 2015-16.</li> <li>E6.3b: COMPLETE: RKEO and HR review ed the diversity data for the REF 2014 staff selection exercises and final submission, and produced a report on the findings. This w as shared with the Athena Sw an Steering Group and the PVC, and recommendations are being taken forward as part of the Athena Sw an action plan. The post-2014 REF Circumstances Board has been set up at BU and one development session on diversity has already been run for the members.</li> </ul>
			produce a report, including recommendations, based on the findings. Ow ner: RKEO and E&D	
E.6.4	Ensure the working conditions for researchers provide the flexibility necessary for successful research performance in line with legal requirements. Working conditions shouldallow both female and male researchers to combine family and work, children and career.	The Flexible Working Policy w as updated in 2014 to permit flexible w orking requests from all staff and for all circumstances.	Action E.6.4: To review maternity, paternity and adoption leave policies. Target date and success measure(s): To review the policies by summer 2016 Ow ner: HR	E6.4: COMPLETE: BU implemented the Shared Parental Leave Policy
E.6.5	Respond flexibly to	See section E.6.4.	No further actions for now.	

Page 24 – updated December 2016

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
	requests for changed work patterns and to resist instant refusals on the assumption that, because research has always been carried out in a particular way, it cannot be done differently.		This has been incorporated into action E.6.4.	
E.6.6	Awareness of funding guidelines on provision of additional funding and duration of grant to cover maternity, paternity and adoptive leave.	The University's policies and procedures apply to all staff, including those funded via external grants. In situations w hereby external grant funding does not cover the cost of absence payments (such as maternity leave) then the University bears these costs, in accordance with its relevant policies and procedures.	No further actions for now. This is review ed as part of the standard review of BU policies and procedures as relevant.	
E.6.7	Transparent equal opportunity policy at recruitment and at all subsequent career stages	<ul> <li>6.7 Action (2012) – in response to the Equality Act (2010) it is planned that the existing Equality Schemes will be merged into one single scheme and action plan by winter 2012.</li> <li>In response to the Equality Act (2010) the existing Equality Schemes w ere merged into one single scheme and action plan (http://w.ww.bournemouth.ac.uk/facilitiesandre sources/diversity/ddesg.html). This action plan w as refreshed in summer 2014 and disseminated as part of the DDE annual report 2013-14.</li> </ul>	No further actions for now. This has been incorporated into actions A.1.2, E.6.1 and E.6.3.	
E6.8	Account should also be taken of the personal circumstances of groups of researchers, such as those who have responsibility for young children or adult dependants, those for whom English is not a first language, etc. Policies or practices that directly or indirectly disadvantage such groups should be changed.	<ul> <li>6.8 Action (2012) - to assess how successful the English language tutoring has been and to put in place a more formalised structure for supporting academics and research for whom English is not a first language so they can be better supported with undertaking their roles and performing at their full ability.</li> <li>Prompted by the Enhancing the Student Experience Programme (ESEP), OD have offered to researchers (for whom English isn't their first language) open advanced presentation skills w orkshops and specific one-to-one support.</li> <li>RKEO review ed the Grants Academy and internal peer review scheme in 2013/14 and have incorporated proof reading and copy editing advice/services as part of these schemes from 2014/15.</li> <li>HR have implemented a requirement for all Lecturer / Senior Lecturer interview procedures to include a presentation (either on a specific topic or a mock lecture) so that an assessment can be made on the</li> </ul>	No further actions for now. This has been incorporated into action E.6.4.	

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
		<ul> <li>candidates' command of the English language. Should language proficiency emerge as an issue either at, or subsequent to, recruitment the needs of relevant staff can be assessed on a 1-2-1 basis by Library and Learning Support staff and appropriate, individually-tailored support recommended and funded betw een central and Faculty budgets. A prompt about language proficiency for inducting line managers has been inserted into the revised induction checklist for new starters.</li> <li>Resources on good business writing skills are available to all BU staff via: <u>https://member.goodpractice.net/bournem outh-university-mkh/Search.gp?q=writing.</u></li> <li>Other activity Staff now have access to free and confidential advice via the Employee Assistance Programme (as of 2013).</li> </ul>		
E.6.9	All managers of research should ensure that measures exist at every institution through which discrimination, bullying or harassment can be reported and addressed without adversely affecting the careers of innocent parties.	<ul> <li>6.9 Action (2012) – to see whether establishinga network of harassment advisers based in Academic Schools would be of benefit to staff.</li> <li>A review of the Bullying and Harassment Policy has recently been undertaken. Equality assessment on the policy has been completed and communication/publicity drafted. As part of the launch of the new Dignity and Respect Policy and Procedures (covering staff and students) in Spring 2015 Dignity and Wellbeing Advisers will be sought. A Forum Theatre provider has been identified and a development programme to support the launch of the new policy will be offered.</li> </ul>	Action E.6.9: To launch the Dignity and Respect Policy and Procedures. Target date and success measure(s): The policy and procedures will be launched in spring 2015. To recruit Dignity and Wellbeing Advisers in summer 2015 and to evaluate the success of these posts in summer 2016. Ow ner: E&D Adviser	<b>E6.9: IN PROGRESS:</b> Dignity and Respect sessions were launched in 2014-15 and continue to take place. The new policy has been launched. The Dignity and Wellbeing Advisers have been appointed and have undertaken development with ACAS in 2016. An evaluation of the Dignity and Respect sessions has been undertaken.
E.6.10	Consideration of participation in schemes such as the Athena Swan Charter, the Juno Project and other initiatives aimed at promoting diversity in research careers.	The University is currently working to achieve an institutional Athena Sw an bronze award and has aspirations to achieve departmental silver aw ards in at least tw o Faculties.	See Action E.6.3.	See Action E.6.3. BU w as awarded the Athena Swan bronze award in October 2015.
	LE 7: The sector and all h careers in the UK	stakeholders will undertake regular and collective	e review of their progress	in strengthening the attractiveness and sustainability of
F.7.1	The implementation of the Concordat's principles will lead to greater integration of researchers into the	7.1a Action (2012) – a researcher representative will be invited to join Senate Research and Knowledge Exchange Committee in the 2012-13 academic year.	Action F.7.1a: To review the membership, role and purpose of RCSG, as w ell as progress with the action	<b>F.7.1a: COMPLETE:</b> The terms of reference and membership for RSCG w ere reviewed at the start of 2015 and the group w as reduced in size. Principle ow ners were identified to take forward the actions in the plan, w hich is being updated quarterly. The four year review provides a timely

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
	mainstream management and career development structures of their employing organisations. The aim of this section is to promote implementation through a collective commitment to reviewing its progress.	<ul> <li>As of autumn 2012 a research staff representative is included as a member of URKEC (previously Senate Research and KE Committee), attending meetings from January 2013 onw ards. The remit of this member is to represent BU research staff and their view s at the Committee meetings. The current representative (Dr M Cash) is also a member of the RCSG and co-chair of the new BU RSA. URKEC significantly reduced its membership in May 2014 and the research staff representative role has remained as a valued part of the Committee.</li> <li>7.1b Action (2012) – feedback from current researchers suggested that most were not aware of the Concordat</li> </ul>	plan, on a regular basis. Target date and success measure(s): Action plan to be review ed quarterly and RCSG to be review ed annually, unless required sooner Ow ner: RCSG Action F.7.1b: To provide more frequent updates to Deans either at ULT or Deans Forum. These briefings will provide a summer, of key noists thou	<ul> <li>point from w hich to revisit the governance structure.</li> <li>F.7.1b: COMPLETE: This was delayed due to the Faculty restructure.</li> <li>Updates on the progress to date w ith implementing the Concordat was shared w ith the University Leadership Team and w ith all academics/researchers via the Research Blog in January 2016 and again in June 2016. This has now become business as usual. A meeting w ith the Policy Adviser to the VC has been scheduled to discuss how the</li> </ul>
		and that those who were aware tended to have prior knowledge of it from previous employment, thus indicating that the University has not done enough to promote the Concordat internally. A new section has been built into the BU Research Blog to specifically promote researcher development, including the Concordat, and will continue to be updated. In addition a copy of the Concordat has been sent to all existing researchers and their academic line managers and a process established for sending the Concordat to all new researchers when they join the University and their	summary of key points they need to know and over w hich they have influence. Target date and success measure(s): the first briefing w ill be provided in spring 2015. Updates w ill be provided tw ice per year thereafter. Ow ner: RCSG Action F.7.1c: To increase	OVC can support promotion/engagement with the Concordat implementation.
		<ul> <li>academic line managers.</li> <li>RCSG has been established as a formal sub- committee of UKREC and is chaired by the Pro Vice Chancellor, Research and Innovation. Membership includes at least one academic representative from all Faculties, research staff representatives and senior colleagues from HR, RKEO and OD. Membership and the terms of reference for RCSG w ere last reviewed in August 2014.</li> <li>A formal update on the implementation of the Concordat w as provided to the University Leadership Team (ULT) in 2013 and an update w as provided to Deans by email in 2014.</li> </ul>	engagement w ith, and understanding of, the Concordat. Target date and success measure(s): w e will review the University's committee structure to identify the appropriate places for the Concordat to be a regular item of business and implement this by summer 2015.	<b>F.7.1c:</b> Complete. RCSG now has a standing agenda item on the URKEC agenda and, from September 2015, it is included in the responsibilities of the revised Faculty RKE Committee ToR. Faculty DDRPs have confirmed their research staff reps are attending the meetings and have a standing agenda item. Actions are being taken forward at Faculty-level, for example, issues being taken forward in the Faculty of Health and Social Sciences in 2015-16 include: mentorship, raising aw areness of the REF, and Faculty inductions for research staff. In addition, where it is appropriate some staff straddle numerous committees to ensure consistency and clarity between related agendas, for example, the E&D Adviser attends both the RCSG and the Athena Sw an steering group meetings.
		<ul> <li>CROS13 indicated that most research staff felt integrated into the Faculty research community (85%), the BU research community (70%) and their w ider disciplinary community (67%).</li> </ul>	Ow ner: RCSG Action F.7.1d: As a result of the actions identified in this plan, the Faculty restructure and other initiatives at BU, we aim to	<ul> <li>F.7.1d: IN PROGRESS:</li> <li>CROS 15 data indicated:</li> <li>63% feel integrated into their department's research community (77% nationally)</li> <li>38% feel integrated into the University's research community</li> </ul>

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
F.7.2	The signatories agree:	7.2a Action (2012) – the University will run CROS (and	increase the proportion of academic/research staff w ho feel integrated into their Faculty, University and disciplinary communities. Target date and success measure(s): CROS and PIRLS data in 2017 to show an increase on these figures from 2015. Ow ner: RKEO	<ul> <li>(60% nationally)</li> <li>75% feel integrated into their wider disciplinary community (70% nationally)</li> <li>PIRLS 15 data indicated: <ul> <li>72% feel integrated within the institution (66% nationally)</li> </ul> </li> <li>This was discussed at the RCSG meeting in January 2016. It was agreed to re-run a slimmed-dow n version of the CROS survey to encourage more responses. This gave a slightly more positive picture of integration but there are still issues to address:</li> <li>BU Research Staff Survey 2016 indicated (national figures are from CROS 15): <ul> <li>79% feel integrated into their department's research community (77% nationally)</li> <li>67% feel integrated into their Faculty's research community.</li> <li>54% feel integrated into their Faculty's research community (60% nationally)</li> <li>64% feel integrated into their wider disciplinary community (70% nationally)</li> <li>64% feel integrated into their wider disciplinary community (70% nationally)</li> <li>64% feel integrated into their wider disciplinary community (70% nationally)</li> </ul> </li> <li>This was discussed at the RCSG meeting in April 2016. The main points of discussion were: i) improving the researchers sense of belonging by encouraging their engagement in wider activities; and, ii) for there to be a stronger UET presence/steer, for example, inviting the PVC (Research and Innovation) to the RSA meetings. The discussion highlighted the importance of the ACF which would provide better opportunities for research staff and a more inclusive and integrated package all round. A number of actions were agreed and proposed to the Faculty DDRPPs. These also informed our 2017-2021 action plan.</li> </ul> <li>F.7.2: COMPLETE: CROS and PIRLS were run in spring 2015, reports</li>
	a. to constitute a steering group under an independent chair to oversee the implementation and review of the Concordat with appropriate representation of the funders and sector bodies including the Professional Institutions. This group will inform the UK Research Base Funders' Forum of progress. b. to procure an independent benchmarking study to assess the state of the	<ul> <li>PIRLS) in 2013.</li> <li>CROS and PIRLS w ere run at BU for the first time in 2013. The results w ere analysed and a report w as circulated to the RCSG. The findings w ere presented at the next RCSG meeting. We have used these data sets as benchmarking tools.</li> <li>7.2b Action (2012) – the Senate Research and Knowledge Exchange Committee will monitor progress against this action plan on a termly basis.</li> <li>RCSG has been established to monitor progress with implementing the Concordat and reports into URKEC (previously Senate Research and KE Committee) quarterly.</li> </ul>	and PIRLS in spring 2015. Target date and success measure(s): To run the surveys in spring 2015 and to analyse the results for presentation to RCSG in summer 2015. These will be used to review the actions in these plans, benchmark progress and identify new actions. Ow ner: RKEO and RCSG	drafted and feedback shared with RCSG and URKEC in September 2015. The reports w ere also shared with the Athena Sw an Steering Group, DDESG and FRKECs. The data w as used, alongside the feedback to the BU research staff survey 2016, by RCSG to review and update the action plan in summer 2016 (see Action F.7.1d for further information).

Page 28 – updated December 2016

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
	sector at the launch of this Concordat. c. to contribute an appropriate share of the costs of supporting implementation and review, including the benchmarking report. d. to draw up an implementation plan for the Concordat, to ensure a coherent and sustained approach by organisations operating in the sector and the appropriate use of survey and monitoring tools such as the Careers in Research Online Survey (CROS). e. to undertake and publish a major reviewof the implementation of the Concordat after three years reporting to the signatories and taking account of progress against the benchmark report and the views of researchers and employers (both outside			
F.7.3	and within the HE sector). The signatory funders will ensure that their terms and conditions of, for example, project grants include the expectation that the Research Organisations that they fund will adopt the principles of the revised Concordat.	We are regularly monitoring the changing requirements of the signatory funders to ensure we are aware of their expectations and requirements.	Action F.7.3: To continue to monitor the expectations of signatory funders in relation to the implementation of the Concordat. Target date and success measure(s): This is an ongoing action that forms part of the role of the Funding Development Team in RKEO. Ow ner: RKEO	F.7.3: IN PROGRESS: This is being monitored. The principles of the Concordat w ere presented to RKEO in April 2015 as a means of raising aw areness. The Funding Development Team in particular are ensuring it is mentioned as appropriate in funding applications.
F.7.4	The signatories recognise the value of innovation in practices and of sharing	7.4 Action (2012) – for more staff to engage and actively participate with Vitae, particularly the regional South West and Wales Hub. To further liaise with Vitae and	Action F.7.4: To continue to engage with Vitae and to share good practice	<b>F.7.4: IN PROGRESS:</b> RKEO staff have presented at or attended a number of Vitae events. Staff in the Graduate School have renew ed their engagement with the Vitae and the Wales and South West Hub.

Page 29 – updated December 2016

Section	Sub principle summary	Progress against original action plan (2013 17)	2015 17 actions	Progress to date against revised action plan (2015 17)
	practice between institutions and aim to promote these throughout the implementation and review process. The funding signatories will consider aligning their support for transferable and career development skills. It is expected that Vitae, the national programme dedicated to realising the potential of researchers, funded by the Research Councils, will play a major role in innovating, sharing practice and enhancing the capability of the sector to implement aspects of the Concordat, as well as establishing strategic partnerships between funders.	<ul> <li>other institutions to share, disseminate and implement practices to support researchers' development.</li> <li>We have an institutional subscription with Vitae.</li> <li>Staff from RKEO presented at the Vitae conference in September 2014.</li> </ul>	internally and externally. Target date and success measure(s): This is an ongoing action. Ow ner: RKEO and the Graduate School	
F.7.5	Under public sector equality schemes, employers are required to monitor equality and diversity indicators for their researchers. This section focuses on the co- ordination and enhancement of existing information collection and not on the creation of additional data. There is a strong presumption that in implementing the Concordat, significant emphasis will be placed on the use of existing data and information sources and on the sharing of good practice between institutions and to provide evidence of its impact.	See section E.6.3. Equality and diversity data are monitored by the University in consultation with the relevant staff equality groups and netw orks.	See Action E.6.3b.	